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• Project introduction
• Anatomy of 3D Assessments
  • Earth
  • Life
  • Physical
• How to participate, if you are interested
Assessment Project Overview

• Lawrence Hall of Science Project, led by SEPUP
  • FOSS, LDG, and Research staff are also participating
• Two-phase project (four years total), started January 2018
• Funded by Carnegie Corporation of New York
  • This work was made possible by a grant from the Carnegie Corporation of New York. The statements made and view expressed are solely the responsibility of the authors.
Assessment Project Overview

- **Goal:** Develop 2D and 3D summative curriculum-independent assessments similar in nature to those SEPUP developed for the *Disruptions in Ecosystems* Unit
- **Piloting:** Work with teachers to obtain input and pilot assessments in their classrooms
- **Expert review:** Teachers, curriculum and assessment experts
- **Availability:** When complete, items will be widely available for use and modification
Item Development Process

- Based on published approaches for Evidence-Centered Design of NGSS Assessment Development (Harris et al. 2016)

- Steps of the process include:
  - “Unpacking” the NGSS elements: SEP, CCC, and DCI
  - Development of Learning Performances (LPs)
  - Development of design patterns for the items, including
    - The nature of information provided in the prompt
    - Possible relevant phenomena/problems/scenarios
    - Possible supports to promote equity
  - Development of the specific items

Collecting Evidence about Validity and Reliability

- Review of items by representatives from three curriculum groups at the Lawrence Hall of Science
- Review of items by classroom teachers
- Review from additional curriculum groups
- Student cognitive labs (think-alouds)
- Piloting with approximately 150 students*
- Additional expert review
Example Learning Performance: Students create a model that shows how water gets from the surface to the atmosphere, from the atmosphere to a mountain or area of high elevation, and then to an area of low elevation.
The diagram above shows a reservoir, a large body of water built by people in the mountains.

How does the snow in the mountains end up as water in the reservoir? Add arrows and labels to the diagram to show your ideas:

- Show the phase the water was in along the way and any changes
- Explain any process that was driven by gravity
- Explain any process that was driven by a transfer of energy
The diagram above shows a reservoir, a large body of water built by people in the mountains.

How does the snow in the mountains end up as water in the reservoir? Add arrows and labels to the diagram to show your ideas:

- Show the phase the water was in along the way and any changes
- Explain any process that was driven by gravity
- Explain any process that was driven by a transfer of energy
How does the snow in the mountains end up as water in the reservoir? Add arrows and labels to the diagram to show your ideas:

- Show the phase the water was in along the way and any changes.
- Explain any process that was driven by gravity.
- Explain any process that was driven by transfer of energy.
In the chat box…

- What challenges might students encounter?
- What supports might students need to engage in three-dimensional sensemaking as they respond?
Example Learning Performance: Given evidence about variations in the growth of a sample of plants, students construct an explanation for how both genetic and environmental factors might explain the differences observed.
Samira and her father go to the plant nursery and find 12 young plants that are labeled “yellow snapdragons.” They plant them in a sunny part of the garden and give them the same amount of water.

After 4 weeks, all the plants are healthy. But they are different heights and shades of yellow, as shown in the picture below. About half of them are dark yellow and short (about 18 cm), while the other half are light yellow and tall (about 45 cm). Since all the plants grew under the same conditions, what might cause the differences in the plants? Explain your answer.
Samira and her father go to the plant nursery and find 12 young plants that are labeled “yellow snapdragons.” They plant them in a sunny part of the garden and give them the same amount of water.

After 4 weeks, all the plants are healthy. But they are different heights and shades of yellow, as shown in the picture below. About half of them are dark yellow and short (about 18 cm), while the other half are light yellow and tall (about 45 cm). Since all the plants grew under the same conditions, what might cause the differences in the plants? Explain your answer.
Since the plants are all grown in the same conditions, the different heights and colors don’t seem to be caused by the environment, so they might be caused by different genes. Genes can affect an organism’s growth and appearance.
Scoring guide for LP2
What to look for:
• Response includes relevant evidence, disciplinary core ideas, and crosscutting concepts.
• Response logically links evidence and concepts to develop a causal mechanism for a phenomenon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4 Complete and correct</strong></td>
<td>The student’s explanation&lt;br&gt;• is supported by sufficient use of appropriate evidence and concepts* AND&lt;br&gt;• links the evidence and concepts to provide a clear and complete causal mechanism for the phenomenon.</td>
<td>The student’s explanation&lt;br&gt;• includes clearly explains that the difference is unlikely to be due to the environment or way they are grown since all are healthy, and&lt;br&gt;• links concludes that the cause of the two kinds of plants is likely to be genetic (or because they came from different parent plants) or comes up with some other plausible explanation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3 Almost there</strong></td>
<td>The student’s explanation is supported by sufficient use of appropriate evidence and concepts* BUT&lt;br&gt;does not link the evidence and concept to provide a clear and complete causal mechanism for the phenomenon.</td>
<td>• concludes that the cause of the two kinds of plants is likely to be genetic as this could explain the two distinct types of plants or comes up with some other plausible explanation&lt;br&gt;• but doesn’t clearly explain how they ruled out environmental differences as the cause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2 On the way</strong></td>
<td>The student’s response includes some use of evidence and concepts relevant to the phenomenon, BUT some key pieces of evidence and/or concepts are missing.</td>
<td>The student proposes a genetic mechanism but does not explain how they reached this suggestion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Physical Science**

PS2-4: Motion and Stability: Forces and Interactions

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

**MS-PS2-4.** Construct and present arguments using evidence to support the claim that gravitational interactions are attractive and depend on the masses of interacting objects. [Clarification Statement: Examples of evidence for arguments could include data generated from simulations or digital tools; and charts displaying mass, strength of interaction, distance from the Sun, and orbital periods of objects within the solar system.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include Newton’s Law of Gravitation or Kepler’s Laws.]

The performance expectations above were developed using the following elements from the NRC document *A Framework for K-12 Science Education:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science and Engineering Practices</th>
<th>Disciplinary Core Ideas</th>
<th>Crosscutting Concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engaging in Argument from Evidence</strong></td>
<td>PS2.B: Types of Interactions</td>
<td>Systems and System Models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in argument from evidence in 6–8 builds from K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world.</td>
<td>• Gravitational forces are always attractive. There is a gravitational force between any two masses, but it is very small except when one or both of the objects have large mass—e.g., Earth and the sun.</td>
<td>• Models can be used to represent systems and their interactions—such as inputs, processes and outputs— and energy and matter flows within systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construct and present oral and written arguments supported by empirical evidence and scientific reasoning to support or refute an explanation or a model for a phenomenon or a solution to a problem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connections to Nature of Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific Knowledge is Based on Empirical Evidence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Science knowledge is based upon logical and conceptual connections between evidence and explanations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LP:** Students can develop and use models to describe the force between objects due to the unobservable gravitational interactions.
The diagram above shows a rock in space. The diagram also shows that the force of gravity acting on that rock is strong and to the left.

A news reporter wants to explain what is happening with the rock to her audience. She claims that the reason this force is strong and to the left is that there is another object to the left, and that object has a large mass.

Could this claim explain why the force of gravity is strong and to the left? What is your evidence, and why does it support or go against her claim?
The diagram above shows a rock in space. The diagram also shows that the force of gravity acting on that rock is strong and to the left.

A news reporter wants to explain what is happening with the rock to her audience. She claims that the reason this force is strong and to the left is that there is another object to the left, and that object has a large mass.

Could this claim explain why the force of gravity is strong and to the left? What is your evidence, and why does it support or go against her claim?
Sample Student Response:
Yes, that claim would explain why the force of gravity is strong and to the left. My evidence that there is an object to the left is that the force is to the left. Gravity is an attractive force between objects that have mass, so if the force is to the left, that means an object must be that way. My evidence that the other object has a large mass is that the force is strong. Gravity is stronger when masses are larger, and since the mass of the rock is small, the force must be large because the other object has a large mass.
Lessons Learned/Revisited

• Multiple items to address all aspects of a PE
• Rarely use multiple choice
• Models work best when students have a starting point and *very* clear instructions on what to include. Also:
  • Improve or correct an existing model
  • Add captions to models

• Choices:
  • Choose the next step in an investigation and explain why
  • Choose the best two pieces of evidence and explain why
Questions so far

- mbinding@berkeley.edu
- sepuplhs.org/
Research Study

Purpose
To develop high-quality assessments to monitor students’ progress towards understanding the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS).

Who can participate?
Teachers currently teaching the NGSS in person in your middle school science classroom at a public or private school. Additionally, your principal or district must agree that we can conduct research in your classroom.

Interested in participating?
Please contact us!

- SEPUP Studies
  - Phone: (510) 643-3429
  - Email: sepupstudies@berkeley.edu